

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 ROBERT TREVINO,) 1:05-cv-0466 OWW SMS P
12 Plaintiff,)
13) ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
14 v.) APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
15) (DOCUMENT #2)
16 WHITTEN, et al.,)
17)
18 Defendants.)

18 Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme Court
19 has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in
20 § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S.
21 296, 109 S.Ct. 1814 (1989).

22 In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance
23 of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Without a reasonable method of securing and
24 compensating counsel, this court will seek volunteer counsel only in the most serious and
25 exceptional cases.

26 In the present case, the court does not find the required exceptional circumstances.
27 Even if it is assumed that plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious

1 allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This court is
2 faced with similar cases almost daily. Therefore, plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel
3 must be denied.

4 In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for
5 the appointment of counsel is denied.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 **Dated: May 4, 2005**
9 i0d3h8

/s/ Sandra M. Snyder
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28